

# NAG Fortran Library Routine Document

## C02AFF

**Note:** before using this routine, please read the Users' Note for your implementation to check the interpretation of ***bold italicised*** terms and other implementation-dependent details.

### 1 Purpose

C02AFF finds all the roots of a complex polynomial equation, using a variant of Laguerre's Method.

### 2 Specification

```
SUBROUTINE C02AFF(A, N, SCALE, Z, W, IFAIL)
INTEGER          N, IFAIL
real           A(2,N+1), Z(2,N), W(4*(N+1))
LOGICAL         SCALE
```

### 3 Description

The routine attempts to find all the roots of the  $n$ th degree complex polynomial equation

$$P(z) = a_0 z^n + a_1 z^{n-1} + a_2 z^{n-2} + \dots + a_{n-1} z + a_n = 0.$$

The roots are located using a modified form of Laguerre's Method, originally proposed by Smith (1967).

The method of Laguerre (see Wilkinson (1965)) can be described by the iterative scheme

$$L(z_k) = z_{k+1} - z_k = \frac{-nP(z_k)}{P'(z_k) \pm \sqrt{H(z_k)}},$$

where  $H(z_k) = (n-1)[(n-1)(P'(z_k))^2 - nP(z_k)P''(z_k)]$  and  $z_0$  is specified.

The sign in the denominator is chosen so that the modulus of the Laguerre step at  $z_k$ , viz.  $|L(z_k)|$ , is as small as possible. The method can be shown to be cubically convergent for isolated roots (real or complex) and linearly convergent for multiple roots.

The routine generates a sequence of iterates  $z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots$ , such that  $|P(z_{k+1})| < |P(z_k)|$  and ensures that  $z_{k+1} + L(z_{k+1})$  'roughly' lies inside a circular region of radius  $|F|$  about  $z_k$  known to contain a zero of  $P(z)$ ; that is,  $|L(z_{k+1})| \leq |F|$ , where  $F$  denotes the Féjer bound (see Marden (1966)) at the point  $z_k$ . Following Smith (1967),  $F$  is taken to be  $\min(B, 1.445nR)$ , where  $B$  is an upper bound for the magnitude of the smallest zero given by

$$B = 1.0001 \times \min(\sqrt{n} |L(z_k)|, |r_1|, |a_n/a_0|^{1/n}),$$

$r_1$  is the zero  $X$  of smaller magnitude of the quadratic equation

$$(P''(z_k)/(2n(n-1)))X^2 + (P'(z_k)/n)X + \frac{1}{2}P(z_k) = 0$$

and the Cauchy lower bound  $R$  for the smallest zero is computed (using Newton's Method) as the positive root of the polynomial equation

$$|a_0|z^n + |a_1|z^{n-1} + |a_2|z^{n-2} + \dots + |a_{n-1}|z - |a_n| = 0.$$

Starting from the origin, successive iterates are generated according to the rule  $z_{k+1} = z_k + L(z_k)$  for  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  and  $L(z_k)$  is 'adjusted' so that  $|P(z_{k+1})| < |P(z_k)|$  and  $|L(z_{k+1})| \leq |F|$ . The iterative procedure terminates if  $P(z_{k+1})$  is smaller in absolute value than the bound on the rounding error in  $P(z_{k+1})$  and the current iterate  $z_p = z_{k+1}$  is taken to be a zero of  $P(z)$ . The deflated polynomial  $\tilde{P}(z) = P(z)/(z - z_p)$  of degree  $n - 1$  is then formed, and the above procedure is repeated on the deflated polynomial until  $n < 3$ , whereupon the remaining roots are obtained via the 'standard' closed formulae for a linear ( $n = 1$ ) or quadratic ( $n = 2$ ) equation.

Note that C02AHF, C02AMF and C02ANF can be used to obtain the roots of a quadratic, cubic ( $n = 3$ ) and quartic ( $n = 4$ ) polynomial, respectively.

## 4 References

Marden M (1966) Geometry of polynomials *Mathematical Surveys* 3 American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI

Smith B T (1967) ZERPOL: A zero finding algorithm for polynomials using Laguerre's method *Technical Report* Department of Computer Science, University of Toronto, Canada

Thompson K W (1991) Error analysis for polynomial solvers *Fortran Journal (Volume 3)* 3 10–13

Wilkinson J H (1965) *The Algebraic Eigenvalue Problem* Oxford University Press, Oxford

## 5 Parameters

1: A(2,N+1) – *real* array *Input*

*On entry:* if A is declared with bounds (2,0:N), then A(1,  $i$ ) and A(2,  $i$ ) must contain the real and imaginary parts of  $a_i$  (i.e., the coefficient of  $z^{n-i}$ ), for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ .

*Constraint:* A(1, 0)  $\neq$  0.0 or A(2, 0)  $\neq$  0.0.

2: N – INTEGER *Input*

*On entry:* the degree of the polynomial,  $n$ .

*Constraint:*  $N \geq 1$ .

3: SCALE – LOGICAL *Input*

*On entry:* indicates whether or not the polynomial is to be scaled. See Section 8 for advice on when it may be preferable to set SCALE = .FALSE. and for a description of the scaling strategy.

*Suggested value:* SCALE = .TRUE..

4: Z(2,N) – *real* array *Output*

*On exit:* the real and imaginary parts of the roots are stored in Z(1,  $i$ ) and Z(2,  $i$ ) respectively, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

5: W(4\*(N+1)) – *real* array *Workspace*

6: IFAIL – INTEGER *Input/Output*

*On entry:* IFAIL must be set to 0, -1 or 1. Users who are unfamiliar with this parameter should refer to Chapter P01 for details.

*On exit:* IFAIL = 0 unless the routine detects an error (see Section 6).

For environments where it might be inappropriate to halt program execution when an error is detected, the value -1 or 1 is recommended. If the output of error messages is undesirable, then the value 1 is recommended. Otherwise, for users not familiar with this parameter the recommended value is 0. **When the value -1 or 1 is used it is essential to test the value of IFAIL on exit.**

## 6 Error Indicators and Warnings

If on entry IFAIL = 0 or -1, explanatory error messages are output on the current error message unit (as defined by X04AAF).

Errors or warnings detected by the routine:

IFAIL = 1

On entry,  $A(1, 0) = 0.0$  and  $A(2, 0) = 0.0$ ,  
or  $N < 1$ .

IFAIL = 2

The iterative procedure has failed to converge. This error is very unlikely to occur. If it does, please contact NAG immediately, as some basic assumption for the arithmetic has been violated. See also Section 8.

IFAIL = 3

Either overflow or underflow prevents the evaluation of  $P(z)$  near some of its zeros. This error is very unlikely to occur. If it does, please contact NAG immediately. See also Section 8.

## 7 Accuracy

All roots are evaluated as accurately as possible, but because of the inherent nature of the problem complete accuracy cannot be guaranteed. See also Section 9.2.

## 8 Further Comments

If SCALE = .TRUE., then a scaling factor for the coefficients is chosen as a power of the base  $B$  of the machine so that the largest coefficient in magnitude approaches THRESH =  $B^{EMAX-P}$ . Users should note that no scaling is performed if the largest coefficient in magnitude exceeds THRESH, even if SCALE = .TRUE.. ( $B$ ,  $EMAX$  and  $P$  are defined in Chapter X02.)

However, with SCALE = .TRUE., overflow may be encountered when the input coefficients  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  vary widely in magnitude, particularly on those machines for which  $B^{(AP)}$  overflows. In such cases, SCALE should be set to .FALSE. and the coefficients scaled so that the largest coefficient in magnitude does not exceed  $B^{(EMAX-2P)}$ .

Even so, the scaling strategy used by C02AFF is sometimes insufficient to avoid overflow and/or underflow conditions. In such cases, the user is recommended to scale the independent variable ( $z$ ) so that the disparity between the largest and smallest coefficient in magnitude is reduced. That is, use the routine to locate the zeros of the polynomial  $dP(cz)$  for some suitable values of  $c$  and  $d$ . For example, if the original polynomial was  $P(z) = 2^{-100}i + 2^{100}z^{20}$ , then choosing  $c = 2^{-10}$  and  $d = 2^{100}$ , for instance, would yield the scaled polynomial  $i + z^{20}$ , which is well-behaved relative to overflow and underflow and has zeros which are  $2^{10}$  times those of  $P(z)$ .

If the routine fails with IFAIL = 2 or 3, then the real and imaginary parts of any roots obtained before the failure occurred are stored in Z in the reverse order in which they were found. Let  $n_R$  denote the number of roots found before the failure occurred. Then  $Z(1, n)$  and  $Z(2, n)$  contain the real and imaginary parts of the first root found,  $Z(1, n-1)$  and  $Z(2, n-1)$  contain the real and imaginary parts of the second root found, ...,  $Z(1, n_R)$  and  $Z(2, n_R)$  contain the real and imaginary parts of the  $n_R$ th root found. After the failure has occurred, the remaining  $2 \times (n - n_R)$  elements of Z contain a large negative number (equal to  $-1/(X02AMF() \times \sqrt{2})$ ).

## 9 Example

For this routine two examples are presented in Section 9.1 and Section 9.2. In the example program distributed to sites, there is a single example program for C02AFF, with a main program:

```

*      CO2AFF Example Program Text
*      Mark 20 Revised. NAG Copyright 2001.
*      .. Parameters ..
      INTEGER          NOUT
      PARAMETER       (NOUT=6)
*      .. External Subroutines ..
      EXTERNAL        EX1, EX2
*      .. Executable Statements ..
      WRITE (NOUT,*) 'CO2AFF Example Program Results'
      CALL EX1
      CALL EX2
      STOP
      END

```

The code to solve the two example problems is given in the subroutines EX1 and EX2, in Section 9.1.1 and Section 9.2.1 respectively.

## 9.1 Example 1

To find the roots of the polynomial

$$a_0 z^5 + a_1 z^4 + a_2 z^3 + a_3 z^2 + a_4 z + a_5 = 0,$$

where  $a_0 = (5.0 + 6.0i)$ ,  $a_1 = (30.0 + 20.0i)$ ,  $a_2 = -(0.2 + 6.0i)$ ,  $a_3 = (50.0 + 100000.0i)$ ,  $a_4 = -(2.0 - 40.0i)$  and  $a_5 = (10.0 + 1.0i)$ .

### 9.1.1 Program Text

**Note:** the listing of the example program presented below uses *bold italicised* terms to denote precision-dependent details. Please read the Users' Note for your implementation to check the interpretation of these terms. As explained in the Essential Introduction to this manual, the results produced may not be identical for all implementations.

```

      SUBROUTINE EX1
*      .. Parameters ..
      INTEGER          NIN, NOUT
      PARAMETER       (NIN=5, NOUT=6)
      INTEGER          MAXDEG
      PARAMETER       (MAXDEG=100)
      LOGICAL          SCALE
      PARAMETER       (SCALE=.TRUE.)
*      .. Local Scalars ..
      INTEGER          I, IFAIL, N
*      .. Local Arrays ..
      real             A(2,0:MAXDEG), W(4*MAXDEG+4), Z(2,MAXDEG)
*      .. External Subroutines ..
      EXTERNAL        CO2AFF
*      .. Executable Statements ..
      WRITE (NOUT,*)
      WRITE (NOUT,*)
      WRITE (NOUT,*) 'Example 1'
*      Skip heading in data file
      READ (NIN,*)
      READ (NIN,*)
      READ (NIN,*)
      READ (NIN,*) N
      IF (N.GT.0 .AND. N.LE.MAXDEG) THEN
        READ (NIN,*) (A(1,I),A(2,I),I=0,N)
        IFAIL = 0
*
        CALL CO2AFF(A,N,SCALE,Z,W,IFAIL)
*
        WRITE (NOUT,*)
        WRITE (NOUT,99999) 'Degree of polynomial = ', N
        WRITE (NOUT,*)
        WRITE (NOUT,*) 'Computed roots of polynomial'
        WRITE (NOUT,*)
        DO 20 I = 1, N
          WRITE (NOUT,99998) 'z = ', Z(1,I), Z(2,I), '*i'
20      CONTINUE
        ELSE

```

```

        WRITE (NOUT,*) 'N is out of range'
      END IF
*
99999 FORMAT (1X,A,I4)
99998 FORMAT (1X,A,1P,E12.4,SP,E12.4,A)
      END

```

### 9.1.2 Program Data

C02AFF Example Program Data

Example 1

```

5
  5.0      6.0
 30.0     20.0
 -0.2     -6.0
 50.0 100000.0
 -2.0     40.0
 10.0     1.0

```

### 9.1.3 Program Results

C02AFF Example Program Results

Example 1

Degree of polynomial = 5

Computed roots of polynomial

```

z = -2.4328E+01 -4.8555E+00*i
z =  5.2487E+00 +2.2736E+01*i
z =  1.4653E+01 -1.6569E+01*i
z = -6.9264E-03 -7.4434E-03*i
z =  6.5264E-03 +7.4232E-03*i

```

## 9.2 Example 2

This example solves the same problem as Section 9.1, but in addition attempts to estimate the accuracy of the computed roots using a perturbation analysis. Further details can be found in Thompson (1991).

### 9.2.1 Program Text

**Note:** the listing of the example program presented below uses *bold italicised* terms to denote precision-dependent details. Please read the Users' Note for your implementation to check the interpretation of these terms. As explained in the Essential Introduction to this manual, the results produced may not be identical for all implementations.

```

      SUBROUTINE EX2
*      .. Parameters ..
      INTEGER          NIN, NOUT
      PARAMETER       (NIN=5,NOUT=6)
      real            ZERO, ONE, THREE
      PARAMETER       (ZERO=0.0e0,ONE=1.0e0,THREE=3.0e0)
      INTEGER          MAXDEG
      PARAMETER       (MAXDEG=100)
      LOGICAL          SCALE
      PARAMETER       (SCALE=.TRUE.)
*      .. Local Scalars ..
      real            DELTAC, DELTAI, DI, EPS, EPSBAR, F, R1, R2, R3,
+                   RMAX
      INTEGER          I, IFAIL, J, JMIN, N
*      .. Local Arrays ..
      real            A(2,0:MAXDEG), ABAR(2,0:MAXDEG), R(MAXDEG),
+                   W(4*MAXDEG+4), Z(2,MAXDEG), ZBAR(2,MAXDEG)
      INTEGER          M(MAXDEG)
*      .. External Functions ..
      real            AO2ABF, XO2AJF, XO2ALF
      EXTERNAL        AO2ABF, XO2AJF, XO2ALF

```

```

* .. External Subroutines ..
EXTERNAL          C02AFF
* .. Intrinsic Functions ..
INTRINSIC          ABS, MAX, MIN
* .. Executable Statements ..
WRITE (NOUT,*)
WRITE (NOUT,*)
WRITE (NOUT,*) 'Example 2'
* Skip heading in data file
READ (NIN,*)
READ (NIN,*)
READ (NIN,*) N
IF (N.GT.0 .AND. N.LE.MAXDEG) THEN
*
*   Read in the coefficients of the original polynomial.
*
*   READ (NIN,*) (A(1,I),A(2,I),I=0,N)
*
*   Compute the roots of the original polynomial.
*
*   IFAIL = 0
*
*   CALL C02AFF(A,N,SCALE,Z,W,IFAIL)
*
*   Form the coefficients of the perturbed polynomial.
*
*   EPS = X02AJF()
*   EPSBAR = THREE*EPS
*   DO 20 I = 0, N
*     IF (A(1,I).NE.ZERO) THEN
*       F = ONE + EPSBAR
*       EPSBAR = -EPSBAR
*       ABAR(1,I) = F*A(1,I)
*       IF (A(2,I).NE.ZERO) THEN
*         ABAR(2,I) = F*A(2,I)
*       ELSE
*         ABAR(2,I) = ZERO
*       END IF
*     ELSE
*       ABAR(1,I) = ZERO
*       IF (A(2,I).NE.ZERO) THEN
*         F = ONE + EPSBAR
*         EPSBAR = -EPSBAR
*         ABAR(2,I) = F*A(2,I)
*       ELSE
*         ABAR(2,I) = ZERO
*       END IF
*     END IF
*   END IF
20  CONTINUE
*
*   Compute the roots of the perturbed polynomial.
*
*   IFAIL = 0
*
*   CALL C02AFF(ABAR,N,SCALE,ZBAR,W,IFAIL)
*
*   Perform error analysis.
*
*   DO 40 I = 1, N
*     Initialize markers to 0 (unmarked).
*     M(I) = 0
40  CONTINUE
*   RMAX = X02ALF()
*   Loop over all unperturbed roots (stored in Z).
*   DO 80 I = 1, N
*     DELTAI = RMAX
*     R1 = A02ABF(Z(1,I),Z(2,I))
*   Loop over all perturbed roots (stored in ZBAR).
*   DO 60 J = 1, N
*     Compare the current unperturbed root to all unmarked
*     perturbed roots.

```

```

        IF (M(J).EQ.0) THEN
            R2 = A02ABF(ZBAR(1,J),ZBAR(2,J))
            DELTAC = ABS(R1-R2)
            IF (DELTAC.LT.DELTAI) THEN
                DELTAI = DELTAC
                JMIN = J
            END IF
        END IF
60      CONTINUE
*      Mark the selected perturbed root.
        M(JMIN) = 1
*      Compute the relative error.
        IF (R1.NE.ZERO) THEN
            R3 = A02ABF(ZBAR(1,JMIN),ZBAR(2,JMIN))
            DI = MIN(R1,R3)
            R(I) = MAX(DELTAI/MAX(DI,DELTAI/RMAX),EPS)
        ELSE
            R(I) = ZERO
        END IF
80      CONTINUE
*
        WRITE (NOUT,*)
        WRITE (NOUT,99999) 'Degree of polynomial = ', N
        WRITE (NOUT,*)
        WRITE (NOUT,*) 'Computed roots of polynomial ',
+      ' Error estimates'
        WRITE (NOUT,*) ' ',
+      ' (machine-dependent)'
        WRITE (NOUT,*)
        DO 100 I = 1, N
            WRITE (NOUT,99998) 'z = ', Z(1,I), Z(2,I), '*i', R(I)
100      CONTINUE
        ELSE
            WRITE (NOUT,*) 'N is out of range'
        END IF
*
99999 FORMAT (1X,A,I4)
99998 FORMAT (1X,A,1P,E12.4,SP,E12.4,A,5X,SS,E9.1)
END

```

### 9.2.2 Program Data

C02AFF Example Program Data

Example 2

```

5
  5.0      6.0
 30.0     20.0
 -0.2     -6.0
 50.0 100000.0
 -2.0     40.0
 10.0     1.0

```

### 9.2.3 Program Results

C02AFF Example Program Results

Example 2

Degree of polynomial = 5

Computed roots of polynomial	Error estimates (machine-dependent)
$z = -2.4328E+01 -4.8555E+00*i$	2.9E-16
$z = 5.2487E+00 +2.2736E+01*i$	1.1E-16
$z = 1.4653E+01 -1.6569E+01*i$	3.2E-16
$z = -6.9264E-03 -7.4434E-03*i$	1.1E-16
$z = 6.5264E-03 +7.4232E-03*i$	1.8E-16

---